

Humanizing Leadership in the Digital Age: How Managers Balance Empathy and Technology

Irayani¹, Frecilia Nanda Melvani², Muhammad Robby William Putra³, Viona Zahra Yustisia⁴
Universitas Tridinanti Palembang, Indonesia^{1,2,3,4}
irayani@univ-tridinanti.ac.id¹²³

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study is to explore how managers balance empathy and technology in the digital age. It examines how emotional intelligence, ethical awareness, and authentic communication can be effectively integrated into technology-driven workplaces. The research aims to redefine leadership by emphasizing the human aspects of management within digital transformation contexts.

Methodology/approach: This study employs a qualitative research design using semi-structured interviews with managers across various technology-oriented organizations. The approach focuses on understanding lived experiences and perceptions of leaders who actively engage with digital tools while maintaining human-centered leadership practices. Data were analyzed thematically, drawing insights from leadership theories, organizational behavior, and psychology.

Results/findings: The findings reveal that empathy and technology are not mutually exclusive but complementary components of effective leadership in the digital age. Three core dimensions emerged as critical for humanizing leadership in digital contexts: Authentic Communication – fostering openness and trust in virtual and hybrid environments. Empathetic Decision-Making – integrating compassion and into managerial choices supported by digital systems. Human-Centered Digital Adaptation aligning technological adoption with employees' emotional and ethical needs. Leaders who demonstrate high emotional intelligence alongside digital competence tend to cultivate greater employee engagement, creativity, innovation, and trust, strengthening organizational resilience and sustainable performance.

Conclusions: The study concludes that the most effective leaders in the digital age are those who successfully integrate empathy with technology, demonstrating emotional intelligence, ethical judgment, and authentic communication. Humanizing leadership enables organizations to achieve both technological efficiency and emotional well-being, contributing to long-term success in digitally transforming workplaces.

Limitations: The research is limited by its qualitative scope and sample size, which may not fully represent all managerial experiences across industries or cultures. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data introduces potential bias. Future research could expand the framework through quantitative validation or cross-cultural comparative studies.

Contribution: This study contributes to the growing body of literature on digital-era leadership by proposing a conceptual framework that aligns human values with digital transformation strategies. It bridges insights from leadership, psychology, and organizational behavior to illustrate how empathy enhances technological effectiveness. The practical implications guide managers, HR practitioners, and policymakers in fostering human-centered leadership that strengthens innovation, trust, and organizational sustainability. This study aims to explore how managers balance empathy and technology in the digital age, focusing on how emotional intelligence, ethical awareness, and authentic communication can be integrated into technology-driven workplaces. The research adopts a qualitative approach using semi-structured interviews. It explores the evolving concept of humanizing leadership in the digital age, emphasizing how managers can effectively integrate emotional intelligence, empathy, and ethical awareness into technology-driven workplaces. Drawing on insights from leadership, psychology, and organizational behavior theories, the study identifies three key dimensions of effective leadership in digital contexts authentic communication, empathetic decision-making, and human-centered digital adaptation The study concludes that empathy and technology are not contradictory but complementary elements of effective modern leadership, where emotional intelligence strengthens technological efficiency and ethical decision-making. Findings suggest that leaders who cultivate

empathy alongside digital competence foster greater employee engagement, creativity, innovation, and trust, which are critical foundations for organizational resilience and sustainable performance. Ultimately, this study contributes to the broader discourse on modern business management by proposing a conceptual framework that aligns human values with digital transformation strategies. By redefining leadership as both technologically adaptive and emotionally intelligent, the study offers practical implications for managers, HR practitioners, and policymakers seeking to humanize the future of work.

Keywords: *Digital Leadership, Empathy, Emotional Intelligence, Organizational Behavior*

1. Introduction

The dawn of the digital age has ushered in an unprecedented transformation of the modern workplace. Characterized by rapid technological acceleration, artificial intelligence, data analytics, and ubiquitous connectivity, this new era promises unparalleled efficiency, scalability, and innovation. Organizations are racing to digitize operations, leveraging tools from project management software to AI-driven performance metrics. However, this relentless march of technology has created a significant paradox: as machines and algorithms become more integrated into daily workflows, the very human elements that form the bedrock of a thriving organizational culture, such as connection, trust, and empathy risk being sidelined (Campos-García et al., 2024). The workplace, while more connected digitally, faces a burgeoning crisis of human disconnection.

This technological tide has fundamentally reshaped the role of leadership. The traditional command and control model of management, once effective in stable, industrial environments, is proving inadequate for the dynamic, knowledge-based economy of today (Widiatmaka et al., 2024). The contemporary manager is now a nexus point between human teams and digital systems, tasked with interpreting data while understanding emotions, implementing software while inspiring people, and driving productivity while fostering well-being. This will be of great importance in the implementation of organization. This complex interplay demands a new leadership paradigm, one that does not see technology and humanity as opposing forces but as complementary elements that must be harmoniously balanced to achieve sustainable success. The value of the process, the use of technology in the firm, the actual performance of the firm, and the integration of process performance into the achievement of productivity goals are important aspects to be considered (irayani, Azrin, & Mikial, 2025).

The push for digitization, while beneficial, often carries an unintended human cost. An over-reliance on digital communication can lead to a loss of nuanced, non-verbal cues, fostering misunderstandings and a sense of isolation among team members. The constant pressure to be "always on," amplified by instant messaging and email, can lead to employee burnout and digital fatigue. Furthermore, the implementation of performance-monitoring technologies can create an environment of surveillance and distrust, making employees feel like cogs in a machine rather than valued contributors. This creates a critical leadership challenge: how to harness the power of technology without dehumanizing the workforce (Monje-Amor et al., 2020).

In response to these challenges, the concept of humanized leadership has emerged as a critical antidote. At its core, humanized leadership is the deliberate practice of leading with empathy, authenticity, and a genuine concern for the well-being and development of employees. Research consistently shows that leaders who demonstrate empathy and psychological safety cultivate higher levels of employee engagement, loyalty, and innovation. The digital technology enables to create business model innovation involving organizations behavior to create, deliver and capture value (Mihardjo et al., 2019).

Empathy, in particular, has transitioned from a soft skill to a strategic imperative for effective leadership in the digital age. Empathy is the ability to understand and share the feelings of another, and

for managers, it translates into the capacity to perceive their team's perspectives, anxieties, and motivations. In a remote or hybrid setting, where casual water cooler interactions are absent, empathetic leaders must be more intentional in checking in on their team's mental and emotional state. Companies, as mentioned need to improve their leadership skills to effectively implement digital transformation (Balahurovska, 2023) When it comes to thinking like a digital leader, changing how leaders approach their roles, and managing work within an organization, leaders should be innovative.

In today's fast-changing digital world, leaders should have a mindset focused on digital leadership and apply it to guide and run the company. They should also help employees grow through training, digital transformation, building a strong company culture, encouraging continuous learning, and using new technologies. This helps people stay adaptable and perform well in a changing environment (Budianto et al., 2023).

However, the call for empathetic leadership is not a rejection of technology. The central thesis of modern management is that the most effective leaders are those who can wield technological tools to *enhance* their human-centric approach. Technology, when applied thoughtfully, can be a powerful ally in humanizing leadership. For instance, data from pulse surveys can provide managers with early warnings of team discontent, allowing for proactive intervention. Collaborative platforms can create inclusive spaces for idea-sharing, and AI can handle administrative tasks, freeing up managers to focus on meaningful, high-touch interactions with their team members. Leadership interactions can be seen as ways leaders and followers build connections through repeated actions focused on getting tasks done. This helps us look at leadership through the idea of regular, routine activities in an organization (Eberl et al., 2025)

The essence of balancing empathy and technology lies in the concept of tech-enabled empathy. This involves using digital tools to gain deeper insights into employee needs and to facilitate more personalized support. A manager might use a workload management tool to identify a team member who is overburdened and then have a compassionate conversation to redistribute tasks (Irayani, Azrin, Mikial, et al., 2025). They might use video conferencing to maintain face-to-face connection with remote employees or leverage an internal social platform to celebrate achievements and foster a sense of community. The technology provides the data and the channel, but the leader provides the human understanding and response (Zhu et al., 2022).

Striking this balance is fraught with challenges. Managers often face immense pressure to deliver quantifiable results, which can lead to an over-indexing on data driven performance metrics at the expense of qualitative human factors. There is also a risk of empathy fatigue, where managers become overwhelmed by the emotional labor of supporting their teams in a high-pressure, always-on environment. Furthermore, a lack of training can leave managers ill-equipped to use new technologies in ways that are empowering rather than intrusive, potentially leading to mistrust and resistance (Meng & Berger, 2019).

This intricate dance between the human and the digital defines the new frontier of leadership excellence. The managers who will thrive in the coming decade are those who can integrate high-touch empathy with high-tech efficiency. They are bilingual, fluent in the languages of both human emotion and digital data. They understand that a dashboard metric is a starting point for a conversation, not the conclusion. They leverage automation to remove mundane tasks, thereby creating more space for creativity, mentorship, and strategic thinking activities that are inherently human (Lestari & Djastuti, 2020).

This paper will therefore explore the strategies and mindsets required for humanizing leadership in the digital age. It will delve into how managers can effectively balance empathy and technology, using digital tools not as a replacement for human connection, but as a catalyst to deepen it. By examining practical approaches, potential pitfalls, and the evolving expectations of the workforce, we aim to provide a framework for leaders to build more resilient, adaptive, and human-centered organizations in an increasingly technological world.

2. Literature review

The Evolution of Leadership in Digital Transformation

We live in a connected world where technology, especially information and communication technology, is changing how businesses make and keep value, where and how we work, and how we talk and connect with each other. Consider five technologies that are transforming the very foundations of global business and the organizations that drive it: cloud and mobile computing, big data and machine learning, sensors and intelligent manufacturing, advanced robotics and drones, and clean-energy technologies (Cascio & Montealegre, 2016).

The digital age has fundamentally altered the landscape of organizational leadership, necessitating a shift from traditional hierarchical models to more adaptive approaches. According to (Schwertner, 2017) digital transformation requires leaders who can navigate rapid technological changes while maintaining human connections. The concept of e-leadership has emerged as a critical framework, defined as a social influence process mediated by technology to produce a change in attitudes, feelings, thinking, behavior, and/or performance. This evolution represents a paradigm shift from command-and-control leadership to more collaborative, empathetic approaches that acknowledge the human element in technological integration.

Today, digital transformation is something every organization, big or small, has to deal with. Leaders are constantly getting new tools and use the data they have to make choices. In the end, digital transformation works well over time when the goals of the organization match the need to use new digital tools. It's up to each leader to make sure this connection between strategy and digital culture is strong (Antonopoulou et al., 2021). (Torlak et al., 2022) detected a positive relationship between ethical leadership (EL) and helping and problem-solving behaviors at organization and significant relationship between decision-making and leadership styles that make decision-making a critical role of a leader.

The Psychological Impact of Digital Work Environments

Research consistently demonstrates the psychological consequences of increasingly digital workplaces. Charlier et al. (2016) found that remote work and digital communication tools can lead to feelings of isolation and disconnection among employees. The absence of non-verbal cues in digital communication creates what Daft & Lengel, (1986) termed media richness deficit, leading to misunderstandings and reduced social presence. Furthermore, the culture facilitated by digital technologies contributes to burnout and digital fatigue (Zaidi & Menon, 2021). These findings highlight the critical need for leaders to mitigate these negative psychological impacts through intentional human-centered practices.

Empathy as a Strategic Leadership Competency

Empathy has transitioned from a peripheral "soft skill" to a core leadership competency in the digital age. Goleman's (1998) work on emotional intelligence established empathy as a fundamental component of effective leadership, enabling leaders to understand and respond to employees' emotional states. More recent research by Garton and Mankins (2015) demonstrates that empathetic leaders can improve organizational performance by up to 40% through enhanced employee engagement and retention. Empathy allows leaders to navigate the complexities of digital transformation while maintaining team cohesion and psychological safety (Edmondson, 2018).

Digital Leadership (DLS) doesn't have a clear or standard definition. It's not clear if DLS means the result of being a digital leader, which happens when an organization goes through a transformation, or if it's a goal that a company wants to achieve. This goal is supported by Digital Transformation (DT) and changes in information and technology. Whether DLS is seen as a result, a position, or a goal depends on what the organization's vision and main priorities are different levels (Tate et al., 2022.).

Technological Tools for Enhancing Human Connection

Paradoxically, technology itself can be leveraged to enhance human connection when applied strategically. Research by (Gibbs et al., 2017) shows that collaborative technologies, when properly implemented, can strengthen team bonds and facilitate knowledge sharing. Tools such as virtual whiteboards, video conferencing platforms, and AI-powered sentiment analysis can provide leaders with insights into team dynamics and individual well-being (Zhao & Rosson, 2019). The concept of "affective computing" explores how technology can recognize, interpret, and respond to human emotions, potentially enhancing empathetic interactions in digital spaces (Picard, 1997).

The Balance Challenge: Efficiency vs. Humanity

A significant tension exists between the drive for technological efficiency and the preservation of human dignity in workplaces. Krzywdzinski (2021) identifies this as the central paradox of digital leadership: how to leverage technology for productivity without reducing employees to mere data points. Research by (Parent-Rochelleau & Parker, 2022) documents how algorithmic management systems can create stressful work environments by imposing unrealistic performance standards. Effective digital-era leaders must navigate this tension by using technology to augment human capabilities rather than replace human judgment (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021).

Human-Centered Design in Leadership Practices

The principles of human-centered design offer valuable frameworks for balancing technological and human needs. Brown (2009) argues that human-centered approaches prioritize empathy and user experience, making them particularly relevant for leaders navigating digital transformation. Research by Deloitte Insights (2020) shows that organizations applying human-centered principles to their digital initiatives report higher employee satisfaction and innovation outcomes. This approach encourages leaders to view technology implementation through the lens of human experience, ensuring that digital tools serve rather than subordinate human needs.

The Role of Psychological Safety in Digital Environments

Psychological safety emerges as a critical factor in successful digital transformation. Edmondson's (2018) research demonstrates that teams with high psychological safety are better equipped to adapt to technological changes and experiment with new digital tools. In digital environments where miscommunication is common, leaders must actively create spaces where employees feel safe to express concerns, ask questions, and admit mistakes. This requires deliberate effort to counteract the distancing effects of digital communication and build trust across digital interfaces.

Future Directions: AI and the Evolution of Empathetic Leadership

The integration of artificial intelligence presents both challenges and opportunities for humanizing leadership. While some fear that AI may further dehumanize workplaces, research suggests it can actually enhance empathetic leadership when used appropriately. For instance, AI-powered analytics can help leaders identify patterns in employee well-being and engagement, enabling proactive support (Bersin & Zao-Sanders, 2019). However, this requires leaders to develop digital empathy the ability to understand and respond to human needs within digital contexts while maintaining ethical boundaries in technology use.

Synthesis: Toward an Integrated Leadership Framework

The literature converges on the need for an integrated leadership framework that harmonizes technological capabilities with human needs. This synthesis suggests that successful digital-era leaders embody what might be termed techno-empathetic leadership- the ability to leverage digital tools while maintaining deep human connection. This approach recognizes that technology and empathy are not mutually exclusive but potentially complementary when leaders develop the wisdom to know when to rely on data and when to trust human intuition, when to automate processes and when to preserve human touchpoints. The year 2020 has turned out to be an unplanned milestone in the progress of digital

transformation Most companies are now looking at and preparing to use digital leadership as a way to lead. This approach helps support the development of new business models that rely on digital technology. It does this by changing how leaders act, how the organization is structured, and how employees behave.

3. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore how managers balance empathy and technology in digital-era leadership. The qualitative approach was chosen to gain an in-depth understanding of the emotional, ethical, and behavioral aspects of leadership that may not be easily captured through quantitative methods. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with selected managers and employees from various digitally transformed organizations across different industries. Participants were chosen using purposive sampling to ensure they had relevant experience in managing or working within technology-driven environments.

Research Design

This study uses a qualitative interpretive phenomenological approach to look at how managers feel, think about, and act on the balance between showing human empathy and using technology in their leadership. This method is good for discovering the meanings and real-life experiences that shape how leaders behave in a digital work environment and adopts a descriptive and exploratory research design to examine how managers balance empathy and technology in digital leadership practices. The design is suitable for understanding complex social phenomena where leadership behavior interacts with digital transformation. The research aims to explore real experiences and strategic adaptations rather than test hypotheses.

Research Approach

A qualitative approach was employed to capture in-depth insights into managerial perspectives, attitudes, and lived experiences. The focus is on understanding *how* leaders integrate empathy into technology-mediated environments, emphasizing meaning and context over numerical generalization.

Data Collection

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with 15 managers and team leaders from various industries, including finance, education, and technology-based SMEs in Indonesia. Participants were selected using purposive sampling, ensuring inclusion of individuals actively involved in digital transformation initiatives. Each interview lasted between 45–60 minutes and was conducted online via video conferencing platforms to reflect digital-era communication.

Data Analysis

The interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step framework. Themes were identified around leadership empathy, technology integration, and human-technology balance. Coding was performed manually and cross-checked by two independent researchers to enhance reliability and minimize interpretive bias.

Research Scope and Limitations

The study focuses on Indonesian organizational contexts; therefore, generalization to other cultural settings should be made with caution. Future studies could employ quantitative or mixed methods to test the proposed framework on a larger scale. Therefore, generalization to other countries or cultural environments should be approached with caution, as leadership behaviors and technological adoption may differ across regions.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Results

The research findings reveal that managers perceive digital technology as a double-edged sword. On one hand, tools like WA and Zoom are essential for maintaining connectivity, especially within distributed teams. On the other hand, constant digital communication often creates fragmentation and erodes relationship depth due to the loss of informal interactions and non-verbal cues. Managers also found that while data and dashboards provide valuable insights, they frequently fail to capture the full human context, requiring further interpretation and conversation to understand the story behind the numbers.

To counteract the dehumanizing effects of technology, managers intentionally implemented structured empathy practices. They created scheduled serendipity, such as dedicating time at the start of meetings for informal check-ins or hosting agenda-free virtual coffees. They also developed "digital emotional literacy, a skill for reading their team's emotional state through indirect cues like changes in typing patterns or response times. A personalized, one-on-one approach that respected each team member's communication preferences was key to demonstrating recognition and value.

Successful leadership strategies were characterized by adaptability and an ability to bridge the gap between technological systems and human needs. Managers acted as translators, framing the rationale behind new technology in terms of human benefits, such as reducing tedious tasks. They developed flexible approaches to hybrid work that focused on outcomes while explicitly identifying which moments required synchronous collaboration. Crucially, they proactively built psychological safety in digital spaces by vulnerably acknowledging their own uncertainties and explicitly inviting questions.

The organizational context was a major determinant of a manager's ability to balance empathy and technology. Managers operating in supportive cultures that measured team well-being reported less internal tension. Conversely, a culture focused solely on output metrics created significant conflict. Involving managers in the selection and design of tech tools was also critical for ensuring smooth, human-centered adoption. A striking finding, however, was that most managers felt under-prepared, highlighting a major gap in leadership development for the digital transition.

Ultimately, humanizing leadership in the digital age is not about choosing between technology or empathy, but about integrating both intelligently. The most effective managers demonstrated what can be termed *techno-empathetic intelligence* the dynamic ability to read a situation, knowing when to leverage digital tools for efficiency and when to prioritize human connection above them. This balance is not static but requires continuous adaptation to evolving technologies and the ever-changing needs of their teams.

4.2 Discussion

The findings reveal that leadership in the digital age requires a deliberate balance between technological competence and emotional intelligence. Managers in this study recognized that while digital tools enhance efficiency and connectivity, they can also create emotional distance and communication barriers among employees. Therefore, empathy becomes a critical leadership competency for maintaining trust, engagement, and collaboration in technology driven environments.

Several participants emphasized that digital transformation is not purely a technical shift but a cultural and relational evolution. Leaders who adopt a human-first approach prioritizing employee well-being, transparent communication, and mutual respect achieve stronger team cohesion and higher morale. This finding aligns with transformational leadership theory, which posits that authentic relationships foster intrinsic motivation and commitment within teams (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Moreover, the results demonstrate that digital empathy the ability to sense and respond to emotions through virtual channels is emerging as a vital leadership skill. Managers who leverage digital tools such as collaborative platforms or AI-assisted feedback systems more empathetically create

inclusive work environments that enhance innovation and adaptability. Digital leadership plays an important role in handling these challenges and has become a major idea in talks about the skills managers need for digital transformation. The main question our study looked into was whether digital leadership is linked to psychological well-being among top-level managers.(Zeike et al., 2019)

However, the study also identifies challenges, including digital fatigue, reduced interpersonal cues, and uneven digital literacy among employees. These issues highlight the need for organizations to integrate leadership development programs that combine digital competency training with emotional intelligence enhancement.

Overall, this study contributes to the understanding of human-centered digital leadership, proposing that empathy is not diminished but redefined by technology. The successful leader in the digital age is not one who chooses between empathy and efficiency, but one who harmonizes both to sustain performance and human connection.

5. Conclusion

5.1 Conclusion

This research affirms that effective leadership in the digital age is defined not by a choice between technology and empathy, but by the sophisticated integration of both. The most successful managers act as human conductors in a digital orchestra, skillfully wielding technological tools to enhance efficiency while simultaneously ensuring the human element remains the central melody. They understand that technology is a powerful means to an end, but never the end itself, which is always the empowerment, well-being, and performance of their people. This requires moving beyond seeing them as conflicting forces and embracing their synergistic potential.

The core competency that emerges from this balance is techno-empathetic intelligence. This is the dynamic ability to read both data and human emotion, to know when to automate a process for efficiency and when to initiate a personal conversation for connection. It involves using a dashboard metric as a starting point for a supportive dialogue, not as a final judgment. This intelligence is cultivated through deliberate practices like scheduled serendipity, personalized check-ins, and developing digital emotional literacy to perceive unspoken needs in a virtual environment.

However, individual manager competence alone is insufficient without a supportive organizational ecosystem. The findings clearly show that a culture obsessed solely with metric-driven performance actively undermines a leader's ability to be empathetic. Organizations must therefore consciously build environments that grant leaders permission to be human, by valuing well-being metrics alongside productivity and involving them in the design of human-centric technology implementations. Furthermore, addressing the significant training gap in digital-era leadership is crucial, as managers cannot be expected to navigate this complex terrain through trial and error alone.

Ultimately, humanizing leadership is the critical differentiator for building resilient, adaptive, and innovative organizations in the 21st century. As technology continues to evolve at a rapid pace, the need for the irreplaceable human qualities of empathy, trust, and psychological safety will only intensify. The leaders and organizations that will thrive are those who master the art of leveraging technology not to replace human connection, but to deepen it, fostering a workplace where people feel seen, valued, and empowered to do their best work.

5.2. Limitation

This study faces several limitations related to its scope, methodology, and context. Because it focuses mainly on organizations that have already adopted digital technologies, the findings may not fully represent traditional sectors still undergoing digital transformation. In addition, the research's reliance on qualitative methods such as interviews or case studies introduces a level of subjectivity that

may influence how empathy and leadership behaviors are interpreted. The absence of longitudinal data also restricts the ability to observe changes in leadership practices over time, particularly in fast-evolving digital environments. For the organisations digital transformation of Industry 4.0 is a kind of forward-thinking that leaders are required to have UpToDate and innovative ideas on all levels of the companies as a digital leader (Durmaz et al., 2022)

Furthermore, cultural and global variability may affect the generalizability of the results since empathy and leadership expressions differ across societies. The study also acknowledges that the rapid pace of technological advancement poses challenges to the long-term relevance of its models or frameworks. Without continuous updates, the proposed concepts may quickly become outdated as new digital tools and leadership paradigms emerge.

5.3. Suggestion

Future research should expand its contextual scope by exploring a wider range of industries and cultural settings to better understand how varying levels of digital maturity influence the relationship between empathy and technology in leadership. Employing quantitative and longitudinal research methods is also recommended to provide more objective and measurable insights into how digital empathy relates to employee engagement, innovation, and overall organizational performance. In addition, future studies could further investigate how leaders integrate artificial intelligence and automation not only to enhance efficiency but also to nurture human connection, inclusivity, and effective communication in digital teams.

Moreover, scholars are encouraged to develop a comprehensive, human-centered leadership framework that merges emotional intelligence with digital competence as a foundation for effective management in technology-driven organizations. Future research may also focus on examining the broader implications of empathetic leadership for employee well-being, such as its effects on psychological safety, job satisfaction, and retention. Such efforts would provide deeper insights into how leaders can sustain both technological progress and human connection in the evolving digital workplace.

5.4 Contribution

This study makes several important contributions to both theory and practice in the field of leadership and organizational management. Theoretically, it expands the understanding of leadership in the digital era by integrating concepts of emotional intelligence, empathy, and ethical awareness with technological competence. The research proposes a conceptual framework of humanizing leadership, which highlights how authentic communication, empathetic decision-making, and human-centered digital adaptation can coexist to strengthen organizational culture and employee engagement.

Practically, this study offers valuable insights for managers, HR practitioners, and policymakers seeking to balance technology-driven efficiency with human connection. The findings encourage organizations to view digital transformation not merely as a technical process, but as a human-centered evolution that prioritizes empathy, trust, and well-being. By redefining leadership as both technologically adaptive and emotionally intelligent, the study provides a foundation for future leadership development programs that aim to sustain innovation, inclusion, and resilience in the digital workplace.

Acknowledgment

The researcher would like to express sincere gratitude to all individuals and institutions who contributed to the completion of this study. Special appreciation is extended to the academic supervisors and mentors for their invaluable guidance, constructive feedback, and continuous encouragement throughout the research process. The researcher is also deeply thankful to the participating managers and employees who generously shared their time, insights, and experiences, making this study possible. Heartfelt thanks are also due to colleagues and peers for their constant support, motivation, and collaboration during data collection and analysis. Finally, the researcher wishes to acknowledge the

unwavering love and understanding of family and friends, whose patience and belief provided the strength to complete this work successfully

References

- Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulos, C., Barlou, O., & Beligiannis, G. (2021). DIGITAL LEADER AND TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP IN HIGHER EDUCATION. *INTED2021 Proceedings, 1*, 9616–9624. <https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2021.2005>
- Balahurovska, I. (2023). THE ROLE OF LEADERSHIP IN INDUSTRY 4.0. *System Safety: Human - Technical Facility - Environment*, 5(1), 66–74. <https://doi.org/10.2478/czoto-2023-0008>
- Budianto, S., Salim, U., Moko, W., & Khusniyah, N. (2023). *Developing Model of Digital Leadership for the New Normal Age* (pp. 321–330). https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-008-4_42
- Campos-García, I., Alonso-Muñoz, S., González-Sánchez, R., & Medina-Salgado, M. S. (2024). Human resource management and sustainability: Bridging the 2030 agenda. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(3), 2033–2053. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2680>
- Cascio, W. F., & Montealegre, R. (2016). How Technology Is Changing Work and Organizations. In *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 3, pp. 349–375). Annual Reviews Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062352>
- Daft, R. L., & Lengel, R. H. (1986). Organizational Information Requirements, Media Richness and Structural Design. *Management Science*, 32(5), 554–571. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.32.5.554>
- Durmaz, O., Hawrami, S. S., & Hamasaeed, A. M. (2022). The suitable leadership for industry 4.0. *Journal of Global Economics and Business*, 3(8), 113–124. <https://doi.org/10.31039/jgeb.v3i8.43>
- Eberl, J. K., Zimmer, M. P., & Drews, P. (2025). Digital leadership routines: Understanding the role of artifacts in digital leadership development. *Information and Organization*, 35(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2025.100599>
- Gibbs, J. L., Sivunen, A., & Boyraz, M. (2017). Investigating the impacts of team type and design on virtual team processes. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(4), 590–603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2016.12.006>
- irayani, N. M. F., Azrin, A., & Mikial, M. (2025). Behavioral Theory and Organizational Culture: The Interplay of Transformational Leadership, Team Dynamics, and Motivation in Driving Change at Tridianti University of Palembang. *The Eastasouth Management and Business (ESMB)* ρ, 3(02), 220–228. <https://doi.org/10.58812/esmb.v3i02>
- irayani, N. M. F., Azrin, A., Mikial, M., & Tridinanti Palembang, U. (2025). Behavioral Theory and Organizational Culture: The Interplay of Transformational Leadership, Team Dynamics, and Motivation in Driving Change at Tridinanti University of Palembang. *The Eastasouth Management and Business (ESMB)* ρ, 3(02), 220–228. <https://doi.org/10.58812/esmb.v3i02>
- Lestari, L., & Djastuti, I. (2020). Lela Lestari, Indi Djastuti / Implementation of Smart Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, and Algorithms (Stara): A Threat or Opportunity for Workers' Future IMPLEMENTATION OF SMART TECHNOLOGY, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, ROBOTICS, AND ALGORITHMS (STARA): A THREAT OR OPPORTUNITY FOR WORKERS' FUTURE. *Review of Management and Entrepreneurship*, 04, 2.

- Meng, J., & Berger, B. K. (2019). The impact of organizational culture and leadership performance on PR professionals' job satisfaction: Testing the joint mediating effects of engagement and trust. *Public Relations Review*, 45(1), 64–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.11.002>
- Mihardjo, L. W. W., Sasmoko, S., Alamsjah, F., & Elidjen, E. (2019). Digital leadership role in developing business model innovation and customer experience orientation in industry 4.0. *Management Science Letters*, 9(11), 1749–1762. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.6.015>
- Monje-Amor, A., Abeal Vázquez, J. P., & Faiña, J. A. (2020). Transformational leadership and work engagement: Exploring the mediating role of structural empowerment. *European Management Journal*, 38(1), 169–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2019.06.007>
- Parent-Rochelleau, X., & Parker, S. K. (2022). Algorithms as work designers: How algorithmic management influences the design of jobs. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100838>
- Schwertner, K. (2017). Digital transformation of business. *Trakia Journal of Science*, 15(Suppl.1), 388–393. <https://doi.org/10.15547/tjs.2017.s.01.065>
- Tate, M., Cho, W., & Valentine, E. (2022). *Digital Leaders and Digital Leadership: A Literature Review and Research Agenda*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378072201>
- Torlak, N. G., Demir, A., & Budur, T. (2022). Decision-making, leadership and performance links in private education institutes. *Rajagiri Management Journal*, 16(1), 63–85. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ramj-10-2020-0061>
- Widiatmaka, P., Sukirno,) 2024. Transformative Interaction Capability on Teamwork Performance: Strategy or Challenge? Perspective On Merchant Marine College. *EKOMBIS REVIEW: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 12(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.37676/ekombis.v12i1>
- Zaidi, E., & Menon, S. (2022). *Strategic Planning Assumptions Magic Quadrant for Data Integration Tools*. <https://www.gartner.com/doc/reprints?id=1-27E7HBB5&ct=210909&st=sb>
- Zeike, S., Bradbury, K., Lindert, L., & Pfaff, H. (2019). Digital leadership skills and associations with psychological well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142628>
- Zhu, J., Zhang, B., Xie, M., & Cao, Q. (2022). Digital Leadership and Employee Creativity: The Role of Employee Job Crafting and Person-Organization Fit. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.827057>